

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,646	07/07/2003	Hampar L. Karagoezian	KARAG-007B2	7637
Kit M. Stetina	7590 01/22/200	EXAMINER		
STETINA BRI	UNDA GARRED & BI	FAY, ZOHREH A		
Suite 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, C	A 92656	1618		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/614,646	KARAGOEZIAN, HAMPAR L.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Zohreh A. Fay	1618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply will by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Munte, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<u>:</u> •
Status				·
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	October 2006.		
-	·	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4\⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-18 is/are pending in the	application		• .
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	* *	•	:
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
′=	Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-18 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		o by the Examiner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		A 11 (1 A	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		•••	1.04
-	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prices of the pri	•	n received in this Nationa	ii Stage
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received	
	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis		n received.	•
•				
Attachmen		🗖		•
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interviev Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 '	

Application/Control Number: 10/614,646

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-7 and 10-18 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on October 24, 2006 have been received and entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,646

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-7 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger (U.S. Patent 4,574,084) in view of Frangione (U.S. Patent 5,782,992).

Berger teaches a process for the preparation of a stabilized, modified aqueous chlorite solution with a peroxy compound, such as hydrogen peroxide. See the abstract. The concentration of sodium chloride is taught in column 7, lines 66-68. The concentration of peroxy compound is taught in column 2, lines 66-68. Frangione teaches the use of a chlorite compound such as sodium chloride or hydrogen peroxide in a contact lens formulation. See column 2, lines 8-15. The concentration of chlorine dioxide within the claimed range is also taught by the above reference. See column 4, lines 3-15. The use of lubricating polymers is taught in column 5, lines 39-44. The use of surfactants is taught in column 4, lines 40-50. The primary reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of the surfactants and lubricating polymers. It would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art to incorporate a lubricating agent and a surfactant to the primary reference, considering that Frangione teaches the addition of such agents to contact lens composition having sodium chloride or hydrogen peroxide as old.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since one relates to the use of hydrogen peroxide and a chlorite compound in a pharmaceutical formulation and the other relates to the use of surfactants and lubricating polymers to ophthalmic formulations as old. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-7 and 11-18 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,646

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



